

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9
10 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
11 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

12 JAMES NOREM, on behalf of himself and
13 others similarly situated,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 NETFLIX, INC. and WALMART.COM
17 USA, LLC,

18 Defendants.

19 OSCAR MACIAS, on behalf of himself
20 and others similarly situated,

21 Plaintiff,

22 v.

23 NETFLIX, INC. and WALMART.COM
24 USA, LLC,

25 Defendants.

26 JIM CORNETT, on behalf of himself and
27 others similarly situated,

28 Plaintiff,

) Civil Action No. CV-09-00956-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00961-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00960-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00962-PJH

) And related cases:

) Civil Action No. CV-09-00002-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00096-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00111-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00116-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00138-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00139-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00156-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00180-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00225-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00236-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00244-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00274-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00294-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00297-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00340-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00349-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00361-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00368-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00375-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00377-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00378-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00391-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00398-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00399-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00400-PJH
) Civil Action No. CV-09-00402-PJH

1	v.)	Civil Action No. CV-09-00434-PJH
2	NETFLIX, INC. and WALMART.COM)	Civil Action No. CV-09-00445-PJH
3	USA, LLC,)	Civil Action No. CV-09-00447-PJH
)	Civil Action No. CV-09-00496-PJH
	Defendants.)	Civil Action No. CV-09-00553-PJH
4)	Civil Action No. CV-09-00554-PJH
5	_____)	Civil Action No. CV-09-00678-PJH
6	JESSE RANDLE, on behalf of himself and)	Civil Action No. CV-09-00962-PJH
7	others similarly situated,)	
)	Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton
	Plaintiff,)	
8	v.)	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
9	NETFLIX, INC. and WALMART.COM)	MOTION TO REMAND
10	USA, LLC,)	
)	
11	Defendants.)	
12	_____)	

13

14 Having fully considered the matter, Plaintiff's motion to remand is hereby

15 GRANTED and the following cases are remanded to the Superior Court of California in

16 and for the County of Santa Clara:

- 17 1. *Norem v. Netflix, Inc., et al.*, CV-09-00956-PJH
- 18 2. *Macias v. Netflix, Inc., et al.*, CV-09-00961-PJH
- 19 3. *Cornett v. Netflix, Inc., et al.*, CV-09-00960-PJH
- 20 4. *Randle v. Netflix, Inc., et al.*, CV-09-00962-PJH

21

22 SO ORDERED.

23

24

25 Date: _____, 2009

26 _____

27 Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton

28 United States District Court Judge